

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/589,579	COLLINS, HUGH FREDERICK
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714

**All Participants:**

(1) Cephia D. Toomer. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Bailey. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2004

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner informed Mr. Bailey that claim 25 is a dependent of a canceled claim. To overcome this problem, the examiner suggested that the limitations of claim 16 be inserted into claim 25. Mr. Bailey agreed.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)